

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

GREGORY DENDOR,
Plaintiffs,

v.

CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A.,
Defendant.

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, GREGORY DENDOR (“Plaintiff”), through his attorneys, and hereby alleges the following against CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A. (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. Count I of Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on negligent violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., (TCPA).
2. Count II of Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on knowing and/or willful violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., (TCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.
4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Sauk County, in the city of Baraboo, Wisconsin.
6. Defendant is a Virginia corporation, doing business in the State of Wisconsin, with its principal place of business located in McLean, Virginia.
7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Defendant is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts incurred through purchases made on credit issued by Defendant.
10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone at phone number (414) 315-12XX.
11. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to (800) 955-6600.
12. Per its prior business practices, Defendant’s calls were placed with an automated telephone dialing system (“auto-dialer”).
13. Defendant used an “automatic telephone dialing system”, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a) (1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, GREGORY DENDOR.
14. Defendant’s calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

1 15. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone
2 service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
3 227(b)(1).

4 16. Defendant never received Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an
5 automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular
6 telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

7 17. On or about May 22, 2017, Plaintiff called into Defendant's company at phone number
8 (800) 955-6600 and spoke with Defendant's female representative and requested that
9 Defendant cease calling Plaintiff's cellular phone.

10 18. During the conversation on May 22, 2017, Plaintiff gave Defendant his social security
11 number, first and last name, and date of birth to assist Defendant in accessing his account
12 and asked Defendant to stop calling his cell phone.

13 19. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call his cellular telephone
14 and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in his
15 conversation with Defendant's representative on May 22, 2017.

16 20. Despite Plaintiff's request to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to
17 Plaintiff after May 22, 2017.

18 21. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls,
19 Defendant placed at least three hundred and thirty-two (332) automated calls to Plaintiff's
20 cell phone.
21

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227

22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).

25. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

29. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GREGORY DENDOR, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A., for the following:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

30. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of negligent violations of the TCPA alleged herein (332); \$166,000.00;

31. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

32. For statutory damages of \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of knowing and/or willful violations of TCPA alleged herein (332); \$498,000.00;

33. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

34. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

35. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;

36. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

37. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Date: May 15, 2018

By: /s/ Alyson J. Dykes
Alyson J. Dykes
Attorney for Plaintiff
LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY LOHMAN, P.C.
4740 Green River Rd., Suite 206
Corona, CA 92880
T: (866) 329-9217
E: AlysonD@jlohman.com